EFFECTIVENESS OF HINDMAN'S THEOREM FOR BOUNDED SUMS

DAMIR D. DZHAFAROV, CARL G. JOCKUSCH, JR., REED SOLOMON, AND LINDA BROWN WESTRICK

Abstract. We consider the strength and effective content of restricted versions of Hindman's Theorem in which the number of colors is specified and the length of the sums has a specified finite bound. Let $\mathsf{HT}_k^{\leq n}$ denote the assertion that for each k-coloring c of $\mathbb{N}$ there is an infinite set $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that all sums $\sum_{x \in F} x$ for $F \subseteq X$ and $0 < |F| \leq n$ have the same color. We prove that there is a computable 2-coloring c of $\mathbb{N}$ such that there is no infinite computable set X such that all nonempty sums of at most 2 elements of X have the same color. It follows that $\mathsf{HT}_2^{\leq 2}$ is not provable in RCA_0 and in fact we show that it implies SRT_2^2 in RCA_0. We also show that there is a computable instance of $\mathsf{HT}_3^{\leq 3}$ with all solutions computing $0'$. The proof of this result shows that $\mathsf{HT}_3^{\leq 3}$ implies ACA_0 in RCA_0.

1. Introduction

Hindman's Theorem (denoted HT) asserts that for every coloring of $\mathbb{N}$ with finitely many colors there is an infinite set $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that all nonempty finite sums of distinct elements of X have the same color. Hindman's Theorem was proved by Neil Hindman [6]. Hindman's original proof was a complicated combinatorial argument, and simpler proofs have been subsequently found. These include combinatorial proofs by Baumgartner [1] and by Towsner [11] and a proof using ultrafilters by Galvin and Glazer (see [4]).

We assume that the reader is familiar with the basic concepts of computability theory and of reverse mathematics. For information on these topics see, respectively, the books by Soare [10] and Simpson [9]. Our notation is standard. In particular, let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of positive integers, and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we identify k and $\{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$. A *k-coloring* of $\mathbb{N}$ is a function $c : \mathbb{N} \to k$. A set $Z \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is *monochromatic* for a coloring c if $c(x) = c(y)$ for all $x, y \in Z$.

The effective content of Hindman's Theorem and its strength as a sentence of second-order arithmetic were studied by Blass, Hirst, and Simpson [2]. They showed that every computable instance c of HT has a solution X computable from $0^{(\omega+2)}$ and, correspondingly, that HT is provable in the system ACA_0^+ obtained by adding to RCA_0 the statement $(\forall X)[X^{(\omega)}$ exists]. In the other direction, they showed that

Dzhafarov was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1400267. Jockusch thanks his coauthors for their hospitality during a visit to the University of Connecticut in May, 2015, during which the work in this paper was largely done. His visit was supported by the University of Connecticut Department of Mathematics.

Keywords: Hindman's Theorem, computable combinatorics, Ramsey's Theorem, reverse mathematics.

AMS Subject Classification: 03D80, 05D10 (03B30, 03F35).

there is a computable instance c of HT such that all solutions X compute $0'$ and, correspondingly, that HT implies ACA_0 in RCA_0.

There is obviously a significant gap between the upper and lower bounds given in the previous paragraph, and closing these gaps has been a major issue in reverse mathematics. In particular it is not known whether there is an n such that every computable instance of Hindman's Theorem has a Σ^0_n solution and, correspondingly, whether HT is provable from ACA_0 in RCA_0.

In the current paper we study the strength and effective content of Hindman's Theorem when it is restricted to sums of bounded length. One might think that such restricted versions of Hindman's Theorem are far weaker than Hindman's Theorem itself, but in fact it is unknown whether this is true. In fact it is a major open problem in combinatorics (see [7], Question 12) whether every proof of Hindman's Theorem for sums of length at most two also proves Hindman's Theorem. We now state these bounded versions formally.

Definition 1.1. For a finite nonempty set $F \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, we let $\sum F$ denote the sum of the elements of F. For $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 1$, we define

$$\mathrm{FS}^{\leq n}(X) = \left\{ \sum F \mid F \subseteq X \text{ and } 1 \leq |F| \leq n \right\}.$$

Definition 1.2. Let $\mathsf{HT}^{\leq n}_k$ denote the statement that for every coloring $c : \mathbb{N} \to k$, there is an infinite set X such that $\mathrm{FS}^{\leq n}(X)$ is monochromatic.

We show in Section 2 that for every Δ^0_2 set X there is a computable instance c of $\mathsf{HT}^{\leq 2}_2$ such that every solution H to c computes an infinite subset of X or $\overline{X}$. It follows that $\mathsf{HT}^{\leq 2}_2$ has a computable instance with no computable solution and hence is not provable in RCA_0. In fact, our proof shows that $\mathsf{HT}^{\leq 2}_2$ implies SRT^2_2 (Stable Ramsey's Theorem for 2-colorings of pairs) in RCA_0. Next we show in Section 3 that there is a computable instance of $\mathsf{HT}^{\leq 3}_3$ such that every solution computes $0'$ and, correspondingly, that $\mathsf{HT}^{\leq 3}_3$ implies ACA_0 in RCA_0. Our proof uses a very ingenious trick from Blass, Hirst, and Simpson [2], combined with some new ideas.

The final section lists many open questions.

2. Hindman's Theorem for sums of length at most 2

Our first theorem concerns $\mathsf{HT}^{\leq 2}_2$ and implies that it has a computable instance c with no computable solution X.

Theorem 2.1. *Let A be a Δ^0_2 set. There is a computable coloring $c : \mathbb{N} \to 2$ such that if W is an infinite set with $FS^{\leq 2}(W)$ monochromatic, then there is an infinite set $Y \leq_T W$ such that $Y \subseteq A$ or $Y \subseteq \overline{A}$.*

Proof. Fix a Δ^0_2 set A and a computable $\{0,1\}$-valued function $f(k,s)$ such that $A(k) = \lim_s f(k,s)$. For $k \geq 0$ and $i \in \{1,2\}$, define

$$\mathcal{O}_{k,i} = \{s \in \mathbb{N} \mid s \equiv i \cdot 3^k \bmod 3^{k+1}\}.$$

If s is written as $s = i_0 \cdot 3^{k_0} + \cdots + i_m \cdot 3^{k_m}$ with $k_0 < \cdots < k_m$ and each $i_j \in \{1,2\}$, then $s \in \mathcal{O}_{k,i}$ if and only if $k = k_0$ and $i = i_0$. The sets $\mathcal{O}_{k,i}$ give a computable partition of $\mathbb{N}$ such that if $s,t \in \mathcal{O}_{k,1}$, then $s+t \in \mathcal{O}_{k,2}$ and if $s,t \in \mathcal{O}_{k,2}$, then $s+t \in \mathcal{O}_{k,1}$. Furthermore, if $s \in \mathcal{O}_{k,i}$ and $t \in \mathcal{O}_{k',i'}$ with $k < k'$ and $i' \in \{1,2\}$,

then $s+t \in \mathcal{O}_{k,i}$. For any $s \in \mathbb{N}$, we let k_s, i_s be the unique numbers k, i such that $s \in \mathcal{O}_{k,i}$. We define our coloring c by

$$c(s) = \begin{cases} f(k_s, s) & \text{if } i_s = 1, \\ 1 - f(k_s, s) & \text{if } i_s = 2. \end{cases}$$

The first important property of this coloring is that for each k we have $c(s) \neq c(t)$ whenever $s \in \mathcal{O}_{k,1}$ and $t \in \mathcal{O}_{k,2}$ are both sufficiently large. This holds since for sufficiently large $s \in \mathcal{O}_{k,1}$ and $t \in \mathcal{O}_{k,2}$ we have $c(s) = f(k,s) = A(k)$ and $c(t) = 1 - f(k,t) = 1 - A(k)$. It follows that for any monochromatic set Z, either $Z \cap \mathcal{O}_{k,1}$ is finite or $Z \cap \mathcal{O}_{k,2}$ is finite.

Fix an infinite set W with $\mathrm{FS}^{\leq 2}(W)$ monochromatic. We claim that $W \cap \mathcal{O}_{k,i}$ is finite for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \{1,2\}$. Suppose first that $W \cap \mathcal{O}_{k,1}$ is infinite. Let S be the set of all sums $a+b$ where a, b are distinct elements of $W \cap \mathcal{O}_{k,1}$. Then S is infinite and $S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{k,2} \cap \mathrm{FS}^{\leq 2}(W)$. Let $Z = W \cup S$. Then Z is monochromatic since $Z \subseteq \mathrm{FS}^{\leq 2}(W)$. Furthermore, $Z \cap \mathcal{O}_{k,1}$ and $Z \cap \mathcal{O}_{k,2}$ are both infinite, contradicting the previous paragraph. This shows that $W \cap \mathcal{O}_{k,1}$ is finite, and the proof that $W \cap \mathcal{O}_{k,2}$ is finite is analogous. It follows that there are infinitely many k such that $W \cap (\mathcal{O}_{k,1} \cup \mathcal{O}_{k,2})$ is nonempty. We call such numbers k *informative* since, as the next claim shows, W can compute $A(k)$ for all informative k.

We claim that if $s \in W \cap \mathcal{O}_{k,i}$ then

$$A(k) = \begin{cases} c(s) & \text{if } i = 1, \\ 1 - c(s) & \text{if } i = 2. \end{cases}$$

To prove the above claim, fix $s \in W \cap \mathcal{O}_{k,i}$. Note that $\mathrm{FS}^{\leq 2}(W) \cap \mathcal{O}_{k,i}$ is infinite, since it contains all sums $s+b$ with $b \in W \cap \mathcal{O}_{k',i'}$ for some $k' > k$, and $i' \in \{1,2\}$, and there are infinitely many such b. Let t be an element of $\mathrm{FS}^{\leq 2}(W) \cap \mathcal{O}_{k,i}$ sufficiently large that $f(k,t) = A(k)$. Since $\mathrm{FS}^{\leq 2}(W)$ is monochromatic, $c(s) = c(t)$. Hence $c(s) = c(t) = f(k,t) = A(k)$ if $i = 1$, and $c(s) = c(t) = 1 - f(k,t) = 1 - A(k)$ if $i = 2$. The claim is proved.

For $i \in \{0,1\}$ let B_i be the set of numbers k such that W can compute that $A(k) = i$. More precisely, define

$$B_i = \{k \mid (\exists n)[(n \in W \cap \mathcal{O}_{k,1} \ \&\ c(n) = i) \text{ or } (n \in \mathcal{O}_{k,2} \ \&\ c(n) = 1 - i)]\}$$

By the above claim, $B_1 \subseteq A$ and $B_0 \subseteq \overline{A}$. Also, each set B_i is c.e. in W. Finally, if k is informative, then $k \in B_0 \cup B_1$. Since there are infinitely many informative numbers, $B_0 \cup B_1$ is infinite, and so B_0 or B_1 is infinite. Fix i such that B_i is infinite, and let Y be an infinite W-computable subset of B_i. Then Y is the desired infinite W-computable subset of A or $\overline{A}$. □

The next corollary follows by taking A to be a bi-immune Δ^0_2 set, for example a Δ^0_2 1-generic set.

Corollary 2.2. *There is a computable coloring $c : \mathbb{N} \to 2$ such that if X is an infinite computable set, then $FS^{\leq 2}(X)$ is not monochromatic.*

The next corollary follows immediately.

Corollary 2.3. $\mathsf{HT}^{\leq 2}_2$ *is not provable in* RCA_0.

We now sharpen the previous corollary. Let D^2_2 be the assertion that for every $\{0,1\}$-valued function $f(x,s)$ such that for all x, $\lim_s f(x,s)$ exists there is an infinite set G and $j<2$ such that $\lim_s f(x,s)=j$ for all $x\in G$. (The principle D^2_2 was defined in [5], Section 7.)

Corollary 2.4. $\mathsf{RCA}_0 \vdash \mathsf{HT}^{\leq 2}_2 \to \mathsf{D}^2_2$.

The proof follows by formalizing the proof of the theorem and the proof of the Limit Lemma.

It was shown by Chong, Lempp and Yang ([3], Theorem 1.4) that D^2_2 implies Σ^0_2-bounding ($\mathrm{B}\Sigma^0_2$) in RCA_0, and hence (justifying a hidden use of $\mathrm{B}\Sigma^0_2$ in the proof of [5], Lemma 7.10), D^2_2 is equivalent to Stable Ramsey's Theorem for Pairs SRT^2_2 as defined in Statement 7.5 of [5].

Corollary 2.5. $\mathsf{RCA}_0 \vdash \mathsf{HT}^{\leq 2}_2 \to \mathsf{SRT}^2_2$.

3. Hindman's Theorem for sums of length at most 3

We now strengthen the results of the previous section, at the cost of allowing longer sums and more colors. We start by considering $\mathsf{HT}^{\leq 3}_4$ and then improve the results to $\mathsf{HT}^{\leq 3}_3$.

Theorem 3.1. *There is a computable coloring $c:\mathbb{N}\to 4$ such that if X is infinite with $FS^{\leq 3}(X)$ monochromatic, then $0'\leq_T X$.*

Proof. Let $f:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$ be a computable 1-1 function. We will define a computable coloring $c:\mathbb{N}\to 4$ such that if X is infinite with $\mathrm{FS}^{\leq 3}(X)$ monochromatic, then X computes $\mathrm{range}(f)$.

For $n\in\mathbb{N}$, write $n=i_0\cdot 3^{k_0}+\cdots+i_\ell\cdot 3^{k_\ell}$ with $k_0<\cdots<k_\ell$ and each $i_j\in\{1,2\}$. Define $\lambda(n)=k_0$, $\mu(n)=k_\ell$ and $i(n)=i_0$. We will use several properties of the functions $\lambda(n)$, $\mu(n)$ and $i(n)$. The following are all straightforward to establish.

(P1) If $\lambda(n)<\lambda(m)$, then $\lambda(n+m)=\lambda(n)$ and $i(n+m)=i(n)$.
(P2) If $\lambda(n)=\lambda(m)$ and $i(n)=i(m)=1$, then $\lambda(n+m)=\lambda(n)$ and $i(n+m)=2$.
(P3) If $\lambda(n)=\lambda(m)$ and $i(n)=i(m)=2$, then $\lambda(n+m)=\lambda(n)$ and $i(n+m)=1$.
(P4) If $\mu(n)<\lambda(m)$, then $\lambda(n+m)=\lambda(n)$ and $\mu(n+m)=\mu(m)$.

For $n=i_0\cdot 3^{k_0}+\cdots+i_\ell\cdot 3^{k_\ell}$ with the i_j and k_j as above, we refer to the intervals (k_j,k_{j+1}) for $j<\ell$ as the *gaps of n*. A gap (a,b) of n is a *short gap in n* if there is a $y\leq a$ such that $y\in\mathrm{range}(f)$ but there is no $x\leq b$ such that $f(x)=y$. (Note that whether a gap (a,b) in n is short does not depend on n.) A gap (a,b) of n is a *very short gap in n* if there is a $y\leq a$ for which there is an $x\leq\mu(n)$ with $f(x)=y$ but no $x\leq b$ for which $f(x)=y$. Note that we can computably determine the very short gaps in n but can only computably enumerate the short gaps in n.

For each n, we let $\mathrm{SG}(n)$ be the number of short gaps in n and we let $\mathrm{VSG}(n)$ be the number of very short gaps in n. As above, we can compute $\mathrm{VSG}(n)$ but in general can only approximate $\mathrm{SG}(n)$ in an increasing fashion as we discover the short gaps. We define our computable coloring by

$$c(n)=\begin{cases}\mathrm{VSG}(n)\bmod 2 & \text{if } i(n)=1,\\ 2+(\mathrm{VSG}(n)\bmod 2) & \text{if } i(n)=2.\end{cases}$$

Let X be an infinite set such that $\mathrm{FS}^{\leq 3}(X)$ is monochromatic. We establish the following two properties.

(P5) For all $n, m \in X$, $i(n) = i(m)$.
(P6) For $k \geq 0$, there is at most one $n \in X$ such that $\lambda(n) = k$.

(P5) holds because $i(n) = 1$ implies $c(n) \in \{0, 1\}$ and $i(m) = 2$ implies $c(m) \in \{2, 3\}$. (P6) holds since if $n \neq m \in X$ with $\lambda(n) = \lambda(m)$ (and by (P5), $i(n) = i(m)$), then by (P2) and (P3), $i(n + m) \neq i(n)$ contradicting (P5).

By (P6), we can assume without loss of generality (by computably thinning out X) that if $n, m \in X$ with $n < m$, then $\mu(n) < \lambda(m)$. The argument now proceeds almost identically to the proof of Theorem 2.2 in Blass, Hirst and Simpson with one minor change.

First, we claim that for all $n \in \mathrm{FS}^{\leq 2}(X)$, $\mathrm{SG}(n)$ is even. For this claim, it is important that n is a sum of at most two elements of X. In particular, this claim need not hold for an arbitrary element of $\mathrm{FS}^{\leq 3}(X)$.

Fix $m \in X$ such that $n < m$, $\mu(n) < \lambda(m)$ and for all $y \leq \mu(n)$, if $y \in \mathrm{range}(f)$, then there is an $x \leq \lambda(m)$ with $f(x) = y$. Since n is a sum of at most two elements of X, $n + m \in \mathrm{FS}^{\leq 3}(X)$. Because $\mu(n) < \lambda(m)$, the gaps in $n + m$ consist of the gaps in n, the gaps in m, and the gap $(\mu(n), \lambda(m))$. We want to count the number of very short gaps in $n + m$. By the choice of m, the gap $(\mu(n), \lambda(m))$ is not very short in $n+m$. By (P4), $\mu(n+m) = \mu(m)$, so each gap in m is very short in $n+m$ if and only if it is very short in m. Finally, if (a, b) is a gap in n, then $b \leq \mu(n)$ and hence by the choice of m, (a, b) is very short in $n+m$ if and only if it is short in n. Therefore, we have

$$\mathrm{VSG}(n + m) = \mathrm{SG}(n) + \mathrm{VSG}(m).$$

Since $c(m) = c(n+m)$, the parity of $\mathrm{VSG}(m)$ is equal to the parity of $\mathrm{VSG}(n+m)$ and therefore $\mathrm{SG}(n)$ is even.

The last claim we need is that if $n, m \in X$ with $n < m$, then for all $y \leq \mu(n)$, $y \in \mathrm{range}(f)$ if and only if there is an $x \leq \lambda(m)$ with $f(x) = y$. Note that this claim gives us a method to compute $\mathrm{range}(f)$ from X, completing the proof. To prove the claim, suppose for a contradiction that there is a $y \leq \mu(n)$ such that $y \in \mathrm{range}(f)$ but there is no $x \leq \lambda(m)$ with $f(x) = y$. In this case, the gap $(\mu(n), \lambda(m))$ is short in $n + m$. Therefore, because the gaps of n (respectively m) are short in $n + m$ if and only if they are short in n (respectively m), we have

$$\mathrm{SG}(n + m) = \mathrm{SG}(n) + \mathrm{SG}(m) + 1.$$

Since $n \neq m \in X$, we have $n + m \in \mathrm{FS}^{\leq 2}(X)$ and hence $\mathrm{SG}(n)$, $\mathrm{SG}(m)$ and $\mathrm{SG}(n + m)$ are all even, giving the desired contradiction. □

Formalizing the proof of this theorem in RCA_0, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. $\mathsf{RCA}_0 \vdash \mathsf{HT}_4^{\leq 3} \to \mathsf{ACA}_0$.

We now improve the previous theorem and corollary from 4 colors to 3 colors.

Theorem 3.3. *There is a computable coloring $c : \mathbb{N} \to 3$ such that if X is infinite with $FS^{\leq 3}(X)$ monochromatic, then $0' \leq_T X$.*

Proof. For any k and $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$, let $\mathcal{O}_{k,i} = \{n : n \equiv i \cdot 7^k \mod 7^{k+1}\}$. Let i_n denote the first nonzero heptary bit of n, which occurs in the k_nth place, so that $n \in \mathcal{O}_{k_n, i_n}$. Color each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ red, green or blue as follows with the slash indicating

a choice between two colors depending on whether $\mathrm{VSG}(n)$ is even or odd.

$$c(n) = \begin{cases} R/G & \text{if } \mathrm{VSG}(n) \text{ is even/odd and } i_n \equiv \pm 1 \mod 7, \\ G/B & \text{if } \mathrm{VSG}(n) \text{ is even/odd and } i_n \equiv \pm 2 \mod 7, \\ B/R & \text{if } \mathrm{VSG}(n) \text{ is even/odd and } i_n \equiv \pm 3 \mod 7. \end{cases}$$

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be an infinite set such that $\mathrm{FS}^{\leq 3}(X)$ is monochromatic. We claim that $X \cap \mathcal{O}_{k,i}$ cannot contain more than 2 elements. To prove this claim, assume that x, y, z are distinct elements of $X \cap \mathcal{O}_{k,i}$ and hence $x + y \in \mathcal{O}_{k,(2i \mod 7)} \cap \mathrm{FS}^{\leq 3}(X)$ and $x + y + z \in \mathcal{O}_{k,(3i \mod 7)} \cap \mathrm{FS}^{\leq 3}(X)$. Consider the following table of multiplication facts.

i	$2i \mod 7$	$3i \mod 7$
± 1	± 2	± 3
± 2	± 3	± 1
± 3	± 1	± 2

The table shows that $\mathrm{FS}^{\leq 3}(X)$ must contain elements from each of the sets $\mathcal{O}_{k,\pm 1 \mod 7}, \mathcal{O}_{k,\pm 2 \mod 7}$, and $\mathcal{O}_{k,\pm 3 \mod 7}$ (where $\mathcal{O}_{k,\pm 1 \mod 7} = \mathcal{O}_{k,1} \cup \mathcal{O}_{k,6}$ and similarly for the other sets). However, by the definition of the coloring c, it is not possible for a monochromatic set to intersect all three of these sets. Therefore, if $x, y, z \in X \cap \mathcal{O}_{k,i}$ are distinct, then $\mathrm{FS}^{\leq 3}(X)$ is not monochromatic, proving the claim.

By the claim, if $\mathrm{FS}^{\leq 3}(X)$ is monochromatic, then X must include elements n for which k_n is arbitrarily large. Also, we can computably thin X so that all of its elements n share the same value for i_n and thus share the same coloring convention, guaranteeing a common parity for $\mathrm{VSG}(n)$. From here, we proceed as in the proof of the previous theorem. □

Corollary 3.4. $\mathsf{RCA}_0 \vdash \mathsf{HT}^{\leq 3}_{3} \to \mathsf{ACA}_0$.

4. Open Questions

Some of the open questions involve comparing bounded versions of Hindman's Theorem with special cases of Ramsey's Theorem. As usual, let RT^n_k denote Ramsey's Theorem for k-colorings of n-element sets. Thus, RT^n_k asserts that whenever the n-element subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ are k-colored, there is an infinite set $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that all n-element subsets of X have the same color.

We have provided some lower bounds on the strength and effective content of some versions of Hindman's Theorem for bounded sums. However, we do not know any upper bounds for the effective content and strength of $\mathsf{HT}^{\leq n}_{k}$ for $n > 1, k > 1$ beyond those known from [2] for Hindman's Theorem itself. In particular, we do not know whether any of these bounded versions of Hindman's Theorem are provable in ACA_0, or whether any of them imply HT. We also do not know whether $\mathsf{HT}^{\leq 2}_{2}$ implies ACA_0 in RCA_0, or whether Ramsey's Theorem for 2-coloring of pairs RT^2_2 implies $\mathsf{HT}^{\leq 2}_{2}$ in RCA_0.

One might also consider the restriction of Hindman's Theorem to sums of length exactly n. Let $\mathsf{HT}^{=n}_{k}$ denote the assertion that for each k-coloring $c : \mathbb{N} \to k$ there is an infinite set $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{\sum F | F \subseteq X \text{ and } |F| = n\}$ is monochromatic. It is clear that RT^n_k implies $\mathsf{HT}^{=n}_{k}$ in RCA_0 for each $n, k \geq 1$, and indeed $\mathsf{HT}^{=n}_{k}$ is just the restriction of RT^n_k to colorings c of n-element sets F such that $c(F)$ depends

only on $\sum F$. It follows from [8], Theorem 5.5, that each computable instance of $\mathsf{HT}_k^{=n}$ has a Π^0_n solution. It is unknown whether this result can be improved to Σ^0_n or better. It also remains open for each $n, k \geq 2$ whether $\mathsf{HT}_k^{=n}$ implies RT_k^n in RCA_0. We do not even know whether each computable instance of $\mathsf{HT}_2^{=2}$ has a computable solution.

References

[1] J. E. Baumgartner, A short proof of Hindman's theorem, J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A 17 (1974), 384–386.

[2] A. R. Blass, J. L. Hirst, and S. G. Simpson, Logical analysis of some theorems of combinatorics and topological dynamics, pp. 125–156 in Logic and Combinatorics (Arcata, California, 1985), volume 65 of Contemporary Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence R.I., 1987.

[3] C. T. Chong, S. Lempp, and Y. Yang, On the role of the collection principle for Σ_2 formulas in second-order reverse mathematics, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 138 (2010), 1093–1100.

[4] W. W. Comfort, Ultrafilters: some old and some new results, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1977), 417–455.

[5] P. A. Cholak, C. G. Jockusch, and T. A. Slaman, On the strength of Ramsey's Theorem for pairs, J. Symbolic Logic 66 (2001), 1–55.

[6] N. Hindman, Finite sums from sequences within cells of a partition of $\mathbb{N}$, J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A, 17 (1974), 1–11.

[7] N. Hindman, I. Leader, and D. Strauss, Open problems in partition regularity, Combinatorics, Probability, and Computing 12 (2003), 571–583.

[8] C. Jockusch, Ramsey's theorem and recursion theory, J. Symbolic Logic 37 (1972), 268–280.

[9] S. Simpson, Subsystems of Second Order Arithmetic, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, Association for Symbolic Logic, 2009.

[10] R. I. Soare, Recursively Enumerable Sets and Degrees, Perspectives in Mathematical Logic, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1987.

[11] H. Towsner, A simple proof and some difficult examples for Hindman's Theorem, Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, 53 (1) (2012), 53–65,

Department of Mathematics, University of Connecticut, 196 Auditorium Road, Storrs, Connecticut 06269 U.S.A.

E-mail address: damir@math.uconn.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, 1409 W. Green Street, Urbana, Illinois 61801, U.S.A.

E-mail address: jockusch@math.uiuc.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Connecticut, 196 Auditorium Road, Storrs, Connecticut 06269 U.S.A.

E-mail address: david.solomon@uconn.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Connecticut, 196 Auditorium Road, Storrs, Connecticut 06269 U.S.A.

E-mail address: linda.westrick@uconn.edu